

9. Optimization: A Special Variety of Equilibrium Analysis

9.1 Optimum Values and Extreme Values

A business firm may seek to maximize profit π , that is, to maximize the difference between total revenue R and total cost C . Since R and C are both functions of the output level Q , π is also a function of Q .

$$\pi(Q) = R(Q) - C(Q). \quad (1)$$

The optimization problem is to choose the level of Q such that π will be a maximum.

In the following discussion, let us consider the general function

$$y = f(x) \tag{2}$$

and attempt to develop a procedure for finding the level of x that will maximize or minimize the value of y .

9.2 Relative Maximum and Minimum: First-Derivative Test

- Relative versus Absolute Extreme




Figure 9.1

In Figure 9.1(a), the point A is the *absolute* minimum in the range of the function.

In Figure 9.1(b), the points B and C are examples of a *relative* extreme. The fact that point B (C) is a relative maximum (minimum) is no guarantee that it is also the global maximum (minimum) of the function, although this may happen to be the case.

We continue our discussion mainly with reference to the search for *relative* extrema such as points B and C .

- First-Derivative Test

$y = f(x)$: continuous and possesses a continuous derivative.

First-derivative test for relative extreme

If the first derivative of a function $f(x)$ at $x = x_0$ is $f'(x_0) = 0$, then the value of the function at x_0 , $f(x_0)$, will be

- a. A relative *maximum* if the derivative $f'(x)$ changes its sign from positive to negative from the immediate left of the point x_0 to its immediate right.
- b. A relative *minimum* if the derivative $f'(x)$ changes its sign from negative to positive from the immediate left of the point x_0 to its immediate right.
- c. Neither a relative maximum nor a relative minimum if $f'(x)$ has the same sign on both the immediate left and right of point x_0 .




Figure 9.2

- | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| the value x_0 | : | a <i>critical value</i> of x if $f'(x_0) = 0$ |
| $f(x_0)$ | : | a <i>stationary value</i> of y |
| The point $(x_0, f(x_0))$ | : | a <i>stationary point</i> |

The first possibility: point A where the derivative $f'(x)$ changes its sign from positive to negative.

The second possibility: point B where the derivative $f'(x)$ changes its sign from negative to positive.

Note that in view of the existence of a third possibility, we are unable to regard the condition $f'(x) = 0$ as a *sufficient condition* for a relative maximum.

The third possibility: point J gives neither a maximum nor a minimum. Rather, it exemplifies what is known as an *inflection point*.

inflection point : the derivative function reaches an extreme value at that point.




Figure 9.3

The other type of inflection point is the point k .

Example 1

Find the relative extrema of the function

$$y = f(x) = x^3 - 12x^2 + 36x + 8. \quad (3)$$

The derivative function is

$$f'(x) = 3x^2 - 24x + 36. \quad (4)$$

From $3x^2 - 24x + 36 = 0$ or $3(x - 2)(x - 6) = 0$, critical values are

$$\bar{x}_1 = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}_2 = 6. \quad (6)$$

スライド 9

中井 美恵1 (5)がありません。

中井 美恵, 2018/01/23

In the immediate neighborhood of $x = 2$,


$$f'(x) > 0 \text{ for } x < 2 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) < 0 \text{ for } x > 2$$

The corresponding value $f(2) = 40$: a relative maximum.

In the immediate neighborhood of $x = 6$,

$$f'(x) < 0 \text{ for } x < 6 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) > 0 \text{ for } x > 6$$

The corresponding value $f(6) = 8$: a relative minimum.



9.3 Second and Higher Derivatives

- Derivative of a Derivative

Since the first derivative $f'(x)$ is itself a function of x , it should be differentiable with respect to x , provided that it is continuous and smooth. The second derivative is denoted by

$$f''(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$$

- Interpretation of the Second Derivative

With a given infinitesimal increase in the independent variable x from a point $x = x_0$,

$f'(x_0) > (<)0$ means that the value of the function tends to increase (decrease)

and

$f''(x_0) > (<)0$ means that the slope of the curve tends to increase (decrease) .

- Concavity and Convexity


From the standpoint of the horizontal axis,

- the graph in diagram (a) to be concave throughout.
- the graph in diagram (b) is convex throughout.


Concavity and convexity are descriptions of how the curve to bends. The second derivative of a function informs us about the curvature of its graph.

We pick up any pair of points M and N on its curve and join them by a straight line.

	the line segment MN	$f''(x)$
<i>Strictly concave function</i>	entirely below the curve	negative for all x
<i>Strictly convex function</i>	entirely above the curve	positive for all x



(a) Concave Function



(b) Convex Function

Figure 9.4

9.4 Second-Derivative Test

- Second-Derivative Test

Second-derivative test for relative extremum

If the first derivative of a function f at $x = x_0$ is $f'(x_0) = 0$, then the value of the function at x_0 , $f(x_0)$, will be

- a. A relative *maximum* if the second-derivative value at $x = x_0$ is $f''(x) < 0$.
- b. A relative *minimum* if the second-derivative value at $x = x_0$ is $f''(x) > 0$.

- Necessary versus Sufficient Conditions

As was the case with the first-derivative test, the zero-slope condition $f'(x) = 0$ plays the role of a *necessary* condition in the second-derivative test. Since this condition is based on the first-order derivative, it is often referred to as the *first-order condition*. Once we find the first-order condition, the negative (positive) sign of $f''(x)$ is *sufficient* to establish the stationary value in question as a relative maximum (minimum). These sufficient conditions are often referred to as *second-order conditions*.




Figure 9.5

In figure 9.5, there exists the two stationary points A and B .

The point A : a relative maximum.

The second-derivative $f''(x)$ has a negative sign at $x = x_1$.

The point B : a relative minimum.

The second-derivative $f''(x)$ has a positive sign at $x = x_2$.

Example 2

Find the relative extrema of the function

$$y = f(x) = x^3 - 12x^2 + 36x + 8. \quad (7)$$

The derivative function is

$$f'(x) = 3x^2 - 24x + 36. \quad (8)$$

From $f'(x) = 0$, we obtain the following critical values:

中井 美恵2

$$\bar{x}_1 = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x}_2 = 6. \quad (10)$$

The second-derivative function is

$$f''(x) = 6x - 24. \quad (11)$$

$f''(2) = -12 < 0 \Rightarrow$ the corresponding value of the function $f(2) = 40$ is established as a relative maximum.

$f''(6) = 12 > 0 \Rightarrow$ the value must be a relative minimum.

スライド 19

中井 美恵2 (9)がありません。

中井 美恵, 2018/01/23

9.5 Maclaurin and Taylor Series

- Maclaurin Series of a Polynomial Function

Consider the expansion of a polynomial function of the n th degree,

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \cdots + a_nx^n, \quad (12)$$

into an equivalent n th-degree polynomial where the coefficients are expressed instead in terms of the derivative values $f'(0)$, $f''(0)$, etc.

We get the derivatives as follows

$$f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2x + 3a_3x^2 + 4a_4x^3 + \dots + na_nx^{n-1},$$

$$f''(x) = 2a_2 + 3 \times 2a_3x + 4 \times 3a_4x^2 + \dots + n(n-1)a_nx^{n-2},$$

$$f'''(x) = 3 \times 2a_3 + 4 \times 3 \times 2a_4x + \dots + n(n-1)(n-2)a_nx^{n-3},$$

\vdots

$$f^{(n)}(x) = n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \dots (3)(2)(1)a_n.$$

We evaluate these derivatives at $x = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= a_1, & f''(0) &= 2a_2, & f'''(0) &= 3 \times 2a_3, \\ \dots & f^{(n)}(0) &= n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \dots (3)(2)(1)a_n. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The result in (13) can be written as

$$a_1 = \frac{f'(0)}{1!}, \quad a_2 = \frac{f''(0)}{2!}, \quad a_3 = \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}, \quad \dots \quad a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}. \quad (14)$$

Substituting these into (12) and utilizing $f(0) = a_0$, we can express the given function $y = f(x)$ as a new same-degree polynomial in which the coefficients are expressed in terms of derivatives evaluated at $x = 0$.

$$f(x) = \frac{f(0)}{0!} + \frac{f'(0)}{1!}x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + \dots + \frac{f^n(0)}{n!}x^n. \quad (15)$$

スライド 23

中井 美恵3 "="は、1つですか2つ必要ですか。

中井 美恵, 2018/01/24

Example 1

Find the Maclaurin series for the function

$$f(x) = 2 + 4x + 3x^2. \quad (16)$$

The derivatives are

$$f'(x) = 4 + 6x, \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) = 6. \quad (17)$$

Hence,

$$f'(0) = 4, \quad \text{and} \quad f''(0) = 6. \quad (18)$$

The Maclaurin series is

$$f(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2}x^2 \quad (19)$$

$$= 2 + 4x + 3x^2. \quad (20)$$

- Taylor Series of a Polynomial Function

More generally, the polynomial function in (12) can be expanded around any point x_0 , not necessarily zero. We interpret any given point x as a deviation from x_0 . Let $x = x_0 + \delta$, where δ represents the deviation from x_0 . The given function (16) and its derivatives become

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 2 + 4(x_0 + \delta) + 3(x_0 + \delta)^2 \\ f'(x) &= 4 + 6(x_0 + \delta), \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) = 6. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Consider the following function of δ .

$$g(\delta) = 2 + 4(x_0 + \delta) + 3(x_0 + \delta)^2 [\equiv f(x)] \tag{22}$$

Consider the following function of δ .

$$g(\delta) = 2 + 4(x_0 + \delta) + 3(x_0 + \delta)^2 [\equiv f(x)] \quad (22)$$

The derivatives are

$$g'(\delta) = 4 + 6(x_0 + \delta) [\equiv f'(x)]$$

$$g''(\delta) = 6 [\equiv f''(x)]$$

The Maclaurin series of $g(\delta)$ is

$$g(\delta) = \frac{g(0)}{0!} + \frac{g'(0)}{1!} \delta + \frac{g''(0)}{2!} \delta^2. \quad (23)$$

Since $x = x_0 + \delta$, the fact that $\delta = 0$ implies $x = x_0$. So, we have

$$g(0) = f(x_0), \quad g'(0) = f'(x_0), \quad g''(0) = f''(x_0). \quad (24)$$

Substituting these into (23), we find the expansion of $f(x)$ around the point x_0 .

$$f(x)[= g(\delta)] = \frac{f(x_0)}{0!} + \frac{f'(x_0)}{1!} (x - x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2!} (x - x_0)^2. \quad (25)$$

For the specific function (16), we have

$$f(x_0) = 2 + 4x_0 + 3x_0^3, f'(x_0) = 4 + 6x_0, f''(x_0) = 6. \quad (26)$$

The Taylor polynomial in (25) becomes

$$f(x) = (2 + 4x_0 + 3x_0^3) + (4 + 6x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{6}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \quad (27)$$

$$= 2 + 4x + 3x^2. \quad (28)$$

The expansion formula in (25) can be generalized to apply to the n th-degree polynomial of (12). The generalized formula is

$$f(x) = \frac{f(x_0)}{0!} + \frac{f'(x_0)}{1!} (x - x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2!} (x - x_0)^2 + \cdots + \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x - x_0)^n. \quad (29)$$

- Expansion of an Arbitrary Function

Taylor's Theorem

Given an arbitrary function $\phi(x)$, if we know the value of the function at $x = x_0$ and the value of its derivatives at x_0 , then this function can be expanded around the point x_0 as follows ($n =$ a fixed positive integer arbitrary chosen):

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \left[\frac{\phi(x_0)}{0!} + \frac{\phi'(x_0)}{1!} (x - x_0) + \frac{\phi''(x_0)}{2!} (x - x_0)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x - x_0)^n \right] + R_n \\ &= P_n + R_n \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where P_n represents the n th-degree polynomial, and R_n denotes a *remainder*.

The appearance of R_n is due to the fact that we are dealing with an arbitrary function ϕ which cannot always be transformed *exactly* into, but can only be approximated by, the polynomial form shown in (29).

A remainder term R_n represent the discrepancy between $\phi(x)$ and P_n .

P_n constitutes a *polynomial approximation* to $\phi(x)$, with the term R_n as a measure of the error of approximation.

If we choose $n = 1$, for example, we have

$$\phi(x) = [\phi(x_0) + \phi'(x_0)(x - x_0)] + R_1 = P_1 + R_1 \quad (31)$$

where P_1 constitutes a *linear* approximation to $\phi(x)$. If we choose $n = 2$, a second-power term will appear, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \left[\phi(x_0) + \phi'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{\phi''(x_0)}{2!} (x - x_0)^2 \right] + R_2 \\ &= P_2 + R_2 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where P_2 is a *quadratic* approximation to $\phi(x)$.

As n increases, the polynomial approximation P_n to $\phi(x)$ increases its approximation accuracy.

P_2 is a better approximation to $\phi(x)$ than P_1 , as shown in Figure 9.6.




Figure 9.6

Example 2

Expand the nonpolynomial function

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{1+x} \tag{33}$$

around the point $x_0 = 1$, with $n = 4$. The derivatives are

$$\phi'(x) = -(1+x)^{-2}, \quad \phi''(x) = 2(1+x)^{-3},$$

$$\phi'''(x) = -6(1+x)^{-4}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{(4)}(x) = 24(1+x)^{-5}.$$

So, we have

$$\phi(1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \phi'(1) = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad \phi''(1) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\phi'''(1) = -\frac{3}{8}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{(4)}(1) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Taylor series with remainder is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(x-1) + \frac{1}{8}(x-1)^2 - \frac{1}{16}(x-1)^3 + \frac{1}{32}(x-1)^4 + R_4 \\ &= \frac{31}{32} - \frac{13}{16}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{3}{16}x^3 + \frac{1}{32}x^4 + R_4. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

- Lagrange Form of the Remainder

According to the *Lagrange form of the remainder*, we can express R_n as

$$R_n = \frac{\phi^{(n+1)}(p)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1} \quad (35)$$

where p is some number between x and x_0 .

If we find that

$$R_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{so that} \quad P_n \rightarrow \phi(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (36)$$

then the Taylor series converges to $\phi(x)$ at the point of approximation. The Taylor series can be written as a *convergent infinite series* as follows:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\phi(x_0)}{0!} + \frac{\phi'(x_0)}{1!} (x - x_0) + \frac{\phi''(x_0)}{2!} (x - x_0)^2 + \dots \quad (37)$$

It will be possible to make P_n as an accurate approximation to $\phi(x)$ by choosing a large enough value of n .