Discussion Paper No. 522

AN EMPIRICAL RESEARCH OF SUBSTITUTABILITY BETWEEN MEDICAL SERVICES AND OVER-THE-COUNTER MEDICATION. AN ANALYSIS OF THIRTEEN DIFFERENT MINOR AILMENTS

Masako li and Yasushi Ohkusa

December 2000

The Institute of Social and Economic Research Osaka University 6-1 Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

An Empirical Research of Substitutability between Medical Services and Over-the-Counter Medication. An Analysis of Thirteen Different Minor Ailments *)

Masako Ii

Yokohama National University

and

Yasushi Ohkusa

Osaka University

Abstract

This paper examines the choice of health care for minor ailments in Japan for patients suffering from thirteen different minor ailments. Original data were obtained from a survey conducted by the authors. For the common cold, shoulder/neck pain, backache, constipation/diarrea, asthenopia, various skin diseases, and hameorrhoids, an increase in the coinsurance rate statistically significantly lowers the demand for medical services. On the other hand, the demand for over-the-counter (OTC) medication is statistically significantly higher with an increase in the coinsurance rate for the common cold and skin diseases. In the case of the common cold, the marginal effects for medical services and for the OTC medication are -0.35 and 0.34 respectively. For shoulder/neck pain, the marginal effect for medical services is -0.26. For other illnesses, marginal effects for medical services range from -0.87 to -3.69, while the values of that for OTC are around 1.5. JEL Classifications: I11,I12, I31, I18

Keywords: minor illnesses, medical service demand, medical insurance system, over-thecounter medication

Correspondence:Yasushi Ohkusa, Institute of Social and Economic Research, Osaka University, 6-1 Mihogaoka Ibaraki Osaka, Japan

Tel:81-6-6879-8566 Fax:81-6-6878-2766

e-mail:ohkus iser.osaka-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Japanese society is rapidly aging and Japanese national medical costs continue to rise by at least one trillion yen (about 0.1 billion US dollars) every year. There is universal coverage with unlimited access to all health care facilities to all the residents. Because of this generous national medical insurance system, patients have no incentive to prevent disease and are increasingly turning away from clinics in favour of hospitals because of their perceived higher quality and standardized price charge across all providers.

Many health care reform plans have been put forward. One of them proposes to increase the coinsurance rate for relatively minor ailments as well as for their prescribed medications. Minor ailments are illnesses such as the common cold and diarrea, which are curable by standard treatments at reasonable costs.

As there are several kinds of treatments for minor illnesses, including medical services provided by hospitals or clinics, the anticipated effect of an increase in the coinsurance rate on national medical costs is unclear. If patients are not sensitive to price change, an increase in the coinsurance rate may not have any appreciable effect on national costs. On the other hand, if patients are sensitive to a price increase, they may choose other options and decide to seek medical services less frequently. An increase in the coinsurance rate will then decrease national medical costs.

In this paper, we assume that when suffering from a minor ailment, a patient has three options: he or she can either consult a doctor, purchase over-the-counter (OTC) medications, or seek no treatment. There is a possibility that patients are highly sensitive to price change, but this needs to be tested empirically.

In the United States, where extensive research has been conducted on health economics, only a few studies have focused on the demand for medical services related to minor ailments, or on the substitutability between prescribed medication and OTC medication (Stuart and James, 1995; Fillenbaum, et. al., 1995). Of these studies, particular attention is paid to the research by Newhouse (1993) because of its originality and innovative research design. The research was part of a 10-year study referred to as the Health Insurance Experiment (HIE) that Newhouse and others at the RAND Institute initiated in 1971. The HIE is a longitudinal study that experimentally altered the cost-sharing of medical care faced by families. Between November 1974 and February 1977, the HIE assigned families to various insurance plans that differed in the amount of cost-sharing. According to this study, the use of emergency units involving minor illnesses is only 47% lower on the costsharing plan than on the free plan. For example, the use of emergency units by patients suffering from influenza declined by 65% on the cost sharing plan compared to the use by those on the free plan. On the cost sharing plan, for abdominal pain use is 53% lower, for back/neck pain use is 45% lower, and for headache use is 11% lower. Although price elasticity are not accounted for in the study, the results suggest that for minor ailments, the demand for medical services is relatively more sensitive to price than for more urgent illnesses.

Ii and Ohkusa (1998) were the first to attempt to estimate the demand for medical services related to minor illnesses. They explicitly modeled the incidence of minor illnesses, and using micro household data measured the price elasticity of demand for medical care for minor illnesses in Japan. Their data, from the Basic Survey on People's Life (BSPL), were not experimentally or extensively collected like that in the HIE. However, the sample size in the BSPL - approximately 600,000 - is much larger compared to that of the HIE, which is about 2000. BSPL includes household and individual characteristics such as gender, age, income and assets, as well as detailed health information. Their results show that the price elasticity for medical services was 0.208, which is comparable to that for the United States, and that medical services and OTC medications are substitutable.

Ii and Ohkusa (2000a) used patients' health information from the BSPL to define minor illnesses, and estimated price elasticities for various minor illnesses. They found that in the case of minor illnesses, the price elasticities for medical services were between 0.144 and 0.149. The data set also included detailed information on 43 subjective symptoms. The authors estimated price elasticities for medical services demanded for the 43 symptoms separately and found that for almost half of the symptoms, the price elasticities estimated at less than unity.

In their study using original data from a survey they conducted, Ii and Ohkusa (1999) examined the choice of health care in Japan for patients suffering from the common cold. Empirical results show that the price elasticity for medical services is between 0.23 and 0.36. This estimated price elasticity suggests that if the new medical insurance reform plan were to increase the coinsurance rate for both the insured and their dependants by 10%, national medical costs may be reduced by, at most, 43 billion yen (358 million US dollars). Correspondingly, this could increase the demand for OTC medicine by at most 8.8 billion yen (73.3 million US dollars). This result implies that medical services and OTC medications are substitutable. Moreover, a tenfold increase in the provision of information on drugs could reduce national medical costs by no more than 60 billion yen (500 million US dollars) with a corresponding increase in the demand for OTC medications of approximately 6.9 billion yen (57.5 million US dollars).

This paper uses the same research method as that employed by Ii and Ohkusa (2000a) but extends in the following three directions. First, Ii and Ohkusa (2000a) analysed only the patient's final decision: either receiving medical services, purchasing OTC or receiving no treatment. In reality, however, when faced with a cold, some patients would initially seek no treatment, later demand OTC, and eventually consult a doctor if they have not recovered within a period of time. The three options are not mutually exclusive a priori. This paper takes these dynamics into account¹).

The second point is that Ii and Ohkusa (2000a) only conducted an analysis on the common cold, while this paper intends to cover the entire range of minor ailments, in terms of both collecting and analyzing the data. The third point is that this article has better access to information on illnesses such as the treatment the patients received, their recovery progress, as well as the symptoms of their illnesses, such as a sore throat or languidness. This research focuses particularly on the second and third points.²⁾

Section 2 describes the data. The empirical model is explained in Section 3. The empirical results are given in Section 4. Concluding remarks are then provided at the end of the paper.

2 Data

The data for this research are obtained from a survey conducted in December, 1999 in the Kanto area (Tokyo, Kanagawa, Saitama, and Chiba) and the Kansai area (Osaka, Kyoto, Nara, and Hyogo). Of the total of 1300 questionnaires distributed to 1300 households, 1249 were completed and returned. All households that completed the questionnaire volunteered to be monitored for the various surveys. Therefore, particular attention should be paid to the sample bias caused by this type of sampling.

The questionnaire was composed of three parts: household, individual, and illness history. The household and the illness history sections were completed by housewives, and the individual section by each household member who are betweenn 20 and 69 years old. There were some minors (those under 20 years old), the elderly, and other household members who were not present at the time of the survey and were, therefore, not able to fill in the questionnaires. A total of 4282 respondents completed the household part of the questionnaire while 2787 people filled in the individual section.

In the household section, the questionnaire asks about age, gender, history of chronic illness (where applicable), household income, assets, and house ownership. The individual section includes questions related to employment status, labor income, and education level. In the illness history section, respondents were asked to describe their illnesses in detail, that is, date when the first symptom appeared, duration of the illness, body temperature, symptoms, their ability to continue their daily activities during the illness, whether they were bedridden, the treatments they received, as well as their recovery progress. Thirteen different illnesses were under investigation: common cold, pollinosis, abdominal pain/indigestion, headache/menorrhalgia, shoulder/neck pain, backache, constipation/diarrea, asthenopia, athlete's foot/corn, various skin diseases(atopic dermatitis, insect bites, miliaria, or urtication), injury (cuts, scratches or burns), bruise/sprain and hameorrhoids. The data also include information on nine symptoms: sore throat, cough, rhinitis/running nose, nausea, languidness, itchy eyes/watery eyes, pain/itching, bleeding/internal haemorrhage, and others.

In the following analysis, during the period of a patient's illness, a day of illness is considered one sample case. In the case where the patient's temperature or symptoms does/do not change, only variation of the time period describes change. In the tables, length of illness refers to the number of days a patient suffers from an illness. Length of discontinuity refers to the number of consecutive days a patient discontinues daily activities such as work, study or household chores as a result of poor health. A patient's bedridden period is the number of days a patient is confined to bed due to the illness. When a patient discontinues his or her daily activities or stays in bed for half a day, a value of 0.5 was assigned.

Tables 1-13 show sample statistics. Among the 18,033 samples who suffered from a common cold, 44% sought medical services from the hospitals, 32% purchased OTC medications, while 24% did not seek medical assistance. On average, patients suffered from a common cold for 4.64 days. The average period of time the patients discontinued their daily activities or remained in bed as a result of their illnesses was half a day. Of the total, 65% of the patients were high school graduates, while 14% were college graduates. The average coinsurance rate was 23%. Patients suffering from a common cold or abdominal pain/indigestion were more likely to seek medical services, and those suffering from headaches/menorrhalgia, shoulder/neck pain, backache, athlete's foot or injury were more likely to demand OTC or take no action to cure their illnesses. This was true espe-

cially among those suffering from athlete's foot or corns, 70% of whom demanded OTC. The study found that patients suffering from pollinosis, shoulder/neck pain, or constipation/diarrea generally had relatively higher education levels compared to those suffering from other illnesses. The number of people suffering from athlete's foot, corns or hameorrhoids was higher in Kansai compared to that in the Kanto area. More than half of the patients suffering from hameorrhoids or backache were employed at the time of the survey. Can this result be interpreted that those working were more likely to suffer from backache even after the variables age or labor income were controlled? Were those suffering from backache and those with employment more likely to seek medical services or demand OTC? The answers to these questions will be discussed following the econometric analysis.

3 The Empirical Model

The dependent variable takes three values: $T_{i,t} = 1$ if a patient demands medical services, $T_{i,t} = 2$ if a patient demands OTC, and $T_{i,t} = 0$ in other cases. Independent variables are X_i patient characteristics $Y_{i,t}$ information on illness and $Z_{i,t}$ variables on length. Individual characteristics X_i are age, gender (=1 if female, = 0 if male), an education dummy, a dummy variable taking the value of one if a person has a history of chronic illnesses, labor income (in logarithms), per capita household income net of own labor income, household net financial assets, a dummy variable taking the value of one if a person owns a house, a dummy variable taking the value of one if a person owns an apartment, a dummy variable taking the value of one if a person lives in the Kansai area, and the coinsurance rate. These variables do not depend on period t. Information on illness $Y_{i,t}$ are temperature, nine symptoms, and a dummy variable taking the value of one if a person has a fever. Variables on length of time $Z_{i,t}$ are: the number of days a patient suffers from an illness, its squared value, the period of time a patient discontinues his/her daily activities due to illness, and length of a patient's bedridden period. We have the following empirical specification:

$$T_{i,t}^{j*} = \alpha_0^j + \alpha_X X_i + \alpha_Y^j Y_{i,t} (+\alpha_Z^j Z_{i,t}) + \varepsilon_{i,t}^j \quad (j = 0, 1, 2)
 T_{i,t} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T_{i,t}^{1*} > T_{i,t}^{2*} and T_{i,t}^{1*} > T_{i,t}^{0*} \\
 2 & \text{if } T_{i,t}^{1*} < T_{i,t}^{2*} and T_{i,t}^{2*} > T_{i,t}^{0*} \\
 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1)

The error term, $\varepsilon_i^j (j = 0, 1, 2)$ is a random variable and follows a three-dimensional normal distribution. For estimation, the multinomial probit method with random effect on the individual is used (Keane, 1992). Because the probability of choosing j adds up to 1, we can estimate the differences in probability. Here, we set j = 0, the choice of 'do nothing', as the basis for comparison. The variance-covariance matrix should be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \sigma \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

4 Estimation Results

Tables 14-39 present the determinants of patients' demand for medical services and OTC. The second column shows the marginal effects, and not estimated coefficients. These effects explain how a unit change in an explanatory variable changes the probabilities of choosing medical services or OTC.

The coinsurance rates are significantly negative for demand for medical services when patients suffered from a common cold, shoulder/neck pain, backache, constipation/diarrea, asthenopia, various skin diseases (atopic dermatitis, insect bites, miliaria, or urtication) and hameorrhoids. On the other hand, the coinsurance rates are significantly positive for demand for OTC in the case of the common cold and various skin diseases. The common cold and various skin diseases are two illnesses which are statistically significant in both cases. In the case of a common cold, marginal effects for medical services and for the OTC medication are -0.35 and 0.34 respectively. For shoulder/neck pain, the marginal effect for medical services is -0.26. For other illnesses, the marginal effects for medical services range from -0.87 to -3.69 while the values of that for OTC are approximately 1.5, which are rather large. This implies that in the case of a cold, a 10% increase in the coinsurance rate (for example, rising from 20% to 30%) reduces the medical demand by 3.5 percentage points and increases the OTC demand by 3.4 percentage points. For shoulder/neck pain, a 10% increase in the coinsurance rate reduces the medical demand by 2.6 percentage points. For other illnesses, the same percentage increase in the coinsurance rate reduces the medical demand by 2.6 percentage the medical demand from 8.7 to 3.7 percentage points while increasing the OTC demand by 15 percentage points.

With some exceptions, the young demanded medical services more and OTC less than did the elderly. People living in Kansai were more likely to have a higher demand for OTC than for medical services. Those employed were also more likely to demand OTC than medical services. While the duration of illnesses was brief, patients demanded more OTC. However, patients were more likely to consult a doctor if they did not recover from their illnesses within a period of time. For many illnesses, education level did not play a significant role in the level of demand for either medical services or OTC. However, in the case of asthenopia, skin disease, and haemorrhage, high school and college graduates had a higher demand for medical services than those still in school. On the other hand, for athlete's foot/corns, demand for medical services was higher among those in school compared to graduates.

5 Concluding Remarks

This paper examines the choice of health care for minor ailments in Japan for patients suffering from 13 different minor ailments. Original data were obtained from a survey conducted by the authors. For the common cold, shoulder/neck pain, backache, constipation/diarrea, asthenopia, various skin diseases, and hameorrhoids, an increase in the coinsurance rate statistically significantly lowers the demand for medical services. On the other hand, the demand for OTC medication is statistically significantly higher with an increase in the coinsurance rate for the common cold and skin diseases. In the case of the common cold, the marginal effects for medical services and for the OTC medication are -0.35 and 0.34 respectively. For shoulder/neck pain, the marginal effect for medical services is -0.26. For other illnesses, the marginal effects for medical services range from -0.87 to -3.69 while the values for OTC are around 1.5.

For future research, the dynamics of patients' choice should be analysed more thoroughly as the medical options are usually not mutually exclusive. Some illnesses that are prone to occur during summer time are omitted in this analysis because our survey was conducted in winter and spring, that is, from the beginning of November until the end of April. Future research should include these illnesses. Moreover, taking into an account the OTC price and its accessibility, and the choice among medical institutions such as clinics and hospitals, this would be interesting to future researchers.

Footnote

- *) This study is a part of the research project financed by the Japanese Ministry of Education (1999/2000 Scientific Research Grant). We are grateful to the comments of the participants at an associated meeting, particularly Prof.Yoshihiko Seoka (Kanto Gakuin University), and Prof.Hajime Nakamura and Prof. Keunsik Park (Faculty of Medicine, Osaka City University). This paper was presented at a meeting on clinical economics. We would also like to acknowledge the comments by Prof.Naoki Ikegami and Prof.Shunya Ikeda of Medical School of Keio University, and the assistance provided by Mr.Kunio Tsuyuhara.
- 1) Similar research was done by Gilleskie (1998). Her estimation model is detailed, but information on illnesses and symptoms were not utilized as in our study.
- 2) Another paper by Ii and Ohkusa (2000b) focuses more on the first point.

References

- Blenkinsopps, A. and C. Bradley (1996), "Patients, Society, and the Increase in Self Medication," *British Medical Journal*, 312(7031), pp.629-32.
- [2] Fillenbaum et. al. (1993), "Prescription and Nonprescription Drug Use among Black and White Community Residing Elderly," *American Journal of Public Health*, 83, pp.1577-82.
- [3] Gilleskie, D.B. (1998), "A Dynamic Stochastic Model of Medical Care Use and Work Absence," *Econometrica*, 66(1), pp.1-45.
- [4] Greenlick, M. and B. Darsky (1968), "A Comparison of General Drug Utilization in a Metropolitan Community with Utilization under a Drug Prepayment Plan," American Journal of Public Health, 58(11), pp.2121-36.
- [5] Johnson, L. (1991), "The demand for OTC medicines: some Australian evidence," Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health, 5(3), pp.228-35.
- [6] Keans, M. (1992), "A Note on Identification in the Multinomial Probit Model," Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 10, pp.193-200.
- [7] Leibowitz, A., W. Manning and J. Newhouse (1985), "The Demand for Prescription Drugs as a Function of Cost-Sharing," Social Sciences and Medicine, 21(19), pp.1063-1069.
- [8] Leibowitz, A. (1989), "Substitution Between Prescribed and Over-the- Counter Medication," *Medical Care*, 27, pp.85-94.
- [9] McNamee, D. (1994), "Over-the-counter drugs," *Lancet*, 343(4), p. 1629.
- [10] Newhouse, J(1993), Free For All, Harvard University Press.

- [11] Stuart, B and James, G. (1995), "Are Prescribed and Over-the-Counter Medicines Economic Substitutes? A Study of the Effect of Health Insurance on Medicine Choices by the Elderly," *Medical Care*, 33, pp.487-501.
- [12] Ii, M and Y. Ohkusa (1998), "Is There Any Substitution Between Medical Services and Over-the-Counter Medications?," Presented at 1998 Japanese Economic Association, Ritsumeikan University.
- [13] Ii, M and Y. Ohkusa (1999), "Interchangeability between Medical Services and Overthe-Counter Medication in the Case of Common Cold: Analysis based on an Original Survey," (in Japanese) Journal of Health Care and Society, 9(3), pp.69-81.
- [14] Ii, M. and Y. Ohkusa (2000a), "Price Sensitivity of the Demand for Medical Services for Minor Ailments: Econometric Estimates Using Information on Illness and Symptoms, Japanese Economic Review, forthcoming.
- [15] Ii, M and Y. Ohkusa (2000b), "Dynamic Analysis on Demand for OTC and Medical Services", manuscript.
- [16] Yamada, T. (1997), "On the Demand for Medical Service," (in Japanese) Journal of Health Care and Society, 7, pp.99-112.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .4384279 | .4962063 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medication | .3206169 | .4667249 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .2409552 | .4276734 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 4.635856 | 6.60715 | 1 | 83 |
| Length of discontinuity | .5711021 | 1.348751 | 0 | 18 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .5540884 | 1.182849 | 0 | 12 |
| High sch. grad. | .6498823 | .4770181 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1428434 | .3499215 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.576534 | .4941197 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .378081 | .4849196 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .3294576 | .4700275 | 0 | 1 |
| Labour income | 1.850485 | 2.708611 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2297321 | .1064806 | 0 | .3 |

Table1 : Descriptive statistics(Common Cold)

Note: Sample size is 18,033. Education level is relative to those still in school. For insurance plans, weights are used to adjust a sample distribution to the population distribution. A day of illness is considered one sample case. For variation of the time (length of illness, length of discontinuity, and patient's bed ridden period), a period an individual suffers from illness is considered to be one sample.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .3518444 | .4775698 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .3289659 | .4698613 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .3191897 | .4661862 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 25.08652 | 24.03984 | 1 | 89 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0251509 | .1764092 | 0 | 2 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .0633803 | .5935703 | 0 | 10 |
| High sch. grad. | .524491 | .499425 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1953235 | .3964695 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.623571 | .4845141 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3160653 | .4649622 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .4866962 | .4998482 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.652554 | 2.836777 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .263473 | .0675639 | 0 | .3 |

Table2 : Descriptive statistics(Pollinosis)

Note:Sample size is 8,454.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .5129073 | .4999392 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .3393991 | .4736056 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .1476936 | .3548711 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 5.501873 | 12.02703 | 1 | 76 |
| Length of discontinuity | .2059925 | .578988 | 0 | 4 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .2509363 | .7674958 | 0 | 9.5 |
| High sch. grad. | .536606 | .4987637 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1527719 | .3598436 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.653226 | .4760442 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .350402 | .4771968 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .5023275 | .5001004 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.846214 | 2.907617 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2520211 | .0840217 | 0 | .3 |

Table3 : Descriptive statistics (Abdominal pain/indigestion)

Note:Sample size is 2,253.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .1404651 | .3476307 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .4883721 | .5000974 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .3711628 | .4833408 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 2.276498 | 3.438218 | 1 | 30 |
| Length of discontinuity | .2626728 | 1.204789 | 0 | 15 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .3364055 | .9776256 | 0 | 7.5 |
| High sch. grad. | .4334884 | .4957871 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1869767 | .3900743 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.948113 | .2219031 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3581395 | .4796766 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .4604651 | .4986665 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.232641 | 2.496772 | 0 | 6.746412 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2803015 | .0506885 | 0 | .3 |

Table4 : Descriptive statistics (Headache/menorrhalgia)

Note:Sample size is 973.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .0668579 | .2498033 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .4093116 | .4917611 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .5238305 | .4994869 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 13.29605 | 22.48618 | 1 | 90 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0559211 | .3015326 | 0 | 3 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1134868 | .7171513 | 0 | 10.5 |
| High sch. grad. | .3993822 | .4898255 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .2206531 | .4147328 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.74338 | .4368158 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3848191 | .4866062 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .479038 | .4996155 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.541758 | 2.778652 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2710278 | .0542556 | 0 | .3 |

Table5 : Descriptive statistics (Shoulder/neck pain)

Note:Sample size is 3,975.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .194271 | .3957111 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .3815645 | .4858598 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .4241645 | .4943063 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 10.23684 | 18.9608 | 1 | 88 |
| Length of discontinuity | .2587719 | 1.508759 | 0 | 20 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1688596 | .6776771 | 0 | 7 |
| High sch. grad. | .4770474 | .4995646 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1939038 | .395427 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.600884 | .4898069 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3040764 | .4600996 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .5104664 | .4999823 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.972718 | 2.992871 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2285769 | .1008749 | 0 | .3 |

Table6 : Descriptive statistics (Backache)

Note:Sample size is 2,380.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .3888112 | .4876508 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .3748252 | .4842471 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .2363636 | .4249967 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 4.596774 | 13.12316 | 1 | 87 |
| Length of discontinuity | .2520161 | .7240769 | 0 | 7 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .2096774 | .5676743 | 0 | 4.5 |
| High sch. grad. | .4447552 | .4971125 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .2090909 | .4068017 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.62069 | .4853862 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3342657 | .4718982 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .3265734 | .4691238 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 1.807746 | 2.659321 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .234442 | .1027542 | 0 | .3 |

Table7 : Descriptive statistics (Constipation/diarrhea)

Note:Sample size is 1,319.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .4082969 | .4916528 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .2227074 | .4161771 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .3689956 | .4826645 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 11.40179 | 19.18354 | 1 | 87 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0535714 | .4762709 | 0 | 5 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1339286 | .8328345 | 0 | 7 |
| High sch. grad. | .6146288 | .4868158 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .121179 | .3264243 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.651201 | .4767204 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .2374454 | .4256336 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .503821 | .5001219 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.771804 | 2.850827 | 0 | 7.131699 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2793613 | .0467254 | 0 | .3 |

Table8 : Descriptive statistics (Asthenopia)

Note:Sample size is 1,583.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .1283997 | .3346402 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .6850095 | .4646591 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .1865908 | .3897059 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 28.73973 | 32.50642 | 1 | 92 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0068493 | .0585206 | 0 | .5 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High sch. grad. | .5173941 | .4998555 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1676154 | .3736426 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.487666 | .500006 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .5085389 | .5000853 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .4965212 | .5001461 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 2.766092 | 2.892256 | 0 | 7.313887 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2407381 | .0867652 | 0 | .3 |

Table9 : Descriptive statistics (Athletes' foot/corn)

Note:Sample size is 1,949.

| | | ~ | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .3691843 | .4826568 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .31571 | .4648682 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .3151057 | .4646282 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 14.7243 | 23.19915 | 1 | 89 |
| Length of discontinuity | .1238318 | .7152545 | 0 | 7 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .0560748 | .3515699 | 0 | 3.5 |
| High sch. grad. | .6438066 | .4789457 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1438066 | .3509466 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.50423 | .5000577 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .4362538 | .4959947 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .2456193 | .4305188 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 1.42729 | 2.548173 | 0 | 7.467942 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2359 | .1016649 | 0 | .3 |

Table10 : Descriptive statistics (Various skin diseases(atopic dermatitis, insect bites, miliaria, or urticaria))

Note:Sample size is 2,893.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .1506849 | .3578777 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .54414 | .4982375 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .305175 | .4606568 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 2.951456 | 3.039187 | 1 | 22 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0946602 | .5314689 | 0 | 6 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .026699 | .1794729 | 0 | 2 |
| High sch. grad. | .6506849 | .4769352 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .152968 | .3600937 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.570878 | .4951415 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .4003044 | .4901465 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .3493151 | .4769352 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 1.884918 | 2.652107 | 0 | 7.313887 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2383446 | .1036084 | 0 | .3 |

Table11 : Descriptive statistics (Injury (cut, scratch or burn))

Note:Sample size is 1,095.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .2385466 | .4263072 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .4555029 | .4981472 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .3059505 | .4609302 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 7 | 12.66221 | 1 | 79 |
| Length of discontinuity | .4532967 | 1.786773 | 0 | 16 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1950549 | 1.256641 | 0 | 16 |
| High sch. grad. | .5197472 | .4997415 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1832543 | .3869768 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.672813 | .4693096 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .3027909 | .4595866 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .3786203 | .485171 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 1.955575 | 2.596821 | 0 | 6.746412 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2633607 | .0670628 | 0 | .3 |

Table12 : Descriptive statistics (Bruise/sprain)

Note:Sample size is 1,555.

| | Mean | Standard | Min | Max |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| | | deviation | | |
| Medical Service | .3180428 | .4659538 | 0 | 1 |
| OTC Medicaton | .4964322 | .5002423 | 0 | 1 |
| Do nothing | .185525 | .3889211 | 0 | 1 |
| Length of illness | 8.175258 | 16.23975 | 1 | 86 |
| Length of discontinuity | .3298969 | 1.255999 | 0 | 11 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .3195876 | 1.268992 | 0 | 11 |
| High sch. grad. | .459735 | .4986303 | 0 | 1 |
| Univ. grad. | .1121305 | .3156879 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 1.695058 | .4606252 | 0 | 1 |
| Kansai Area | .5015291 | .5002527 | 0 | 1 |
| Worker | .5504587 | .4977011 | 0 | 1 |
| Labor income | 3.08741 | 2.884409 | 0 | 7.131699 |
| Coinsurance rate | .2043968 | .1035098 | 0 | .3 |

Table13 : Descriptive statistics (Hemorrhoid)

Note:Sample size is 795.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0190068 | -5.75 | 0.000 |
| Age squared | .0002153 | 4.61 | 0.000 |
| Female | .0248456 | 0.78 | 0.435 |
| High sch. grad. | .0732418 | 0.73 | 0.465 |
| Univ. grad. | .0764142 | 0.69 | 0.488 |
| Kansai Area | 0467658 | -1.78 | 0.076 |
| Worker | 053413 | -0.37 | 0.712 |
| Labour income | .0054246 | 0.20 | 0.845 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0314145 | 3.39 | 0.001 |
| Patient's bedridden period | 0071371 | -0.81 | 0.420 |
| Length of illness | .007614 | 2.63 | 0.009 |
| Length of illness squared | 0001331 | -2.63 | 0.009 |
| Coinsurance rate | 3578729 | -2.22 | 0.027 |

Table14 : Marginal effect for medical services (Common cold)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .017197 | 5.51 | 0.000 |
| Age squared | 0002103 | -4.81 | 0.000 |
| Female | 0451196 | -1.36 | 0.175 |
| High sch. grad. | 0743143 | -0.88 | 0.381 |
| Univ. grad. | 0781449 | -0.91 | 0.365 |
| Kansai Area | .0485596 | 1.96 | 0.051 |
| Worker | .2495458 | 1.91 | 0.057 |
| Labour income | 04204 | -1.75 | 0.080 |
| Length of discontinuity | 0268871 | -2.76 | 0.006 |
| Patient's bedridden period | .0031314 | 0.44 | 0.660 |
| Length of illness | 0070789 | -2.53 | 0.011 |
| Length of illness squared | .0001457 | 3.31 | 0.001 |
| Coinsurance rate | .3403793 | 1.89 | 0.059 |

Table15 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Common cold)

Note: Log likelihood is -20831. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.4465778. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | (| |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | value |
| 0217162 | -2.54 | 0.011 |
| .0002491 | 2.17 | 0.030 |
| 0831281 | -0.93 | 0.350 |
| 1196931 | -0.51 | 0.607 |
| 0194976 | -0.08 | 0.932 |
| 0856596 | -1.27 | 0.205 |
| .1342944 | 0.52 | 0.600 |
| 0277885 | -0.54 | 0.592 |
| .1975711 | 3.34 | 0.001 |
| 3465963 | -2.92 | 0.003 |
| .0000821 | 0.04 | 0.970 |
| -8.64e-06 | -0.29 | 0.771 |
| .461461 | 0.73 | 0.468 |
| | Marginal effect 0217162 .0002491 0831281 1196931 0194976 0856596 .1342944 0277885 .1975711 3465963 .0000821 -8.64e-06 .461461 | Marginal effectt-value 0217162 -2.54 $.0002491$ 2.17 0831281 -0.93 1196931 -0.51 0194976 -0.08 0856596 -1.27 $.1342944$ 0.52 0277885 -0.54 $.1975711$ 3.34 3465963 -2.92 $.0000821$ 0.04 $-8.64e-06$ -0.29 $.461461$ 0.73 |

Table16 : Marginal effect for medical services (Pollinosis)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0042849 | -0.54 | 0.592 |
| Age squared | .0000528 | 0.50 | 0.619 |
| Female | .1252463 | 1.53 | 0.126 |
| High sch. grad. | .3243953 | 1.91 | 0.056 |
| Univ. grad. | .1382388 | 0.72 | 0.470 |
| Kansai Area | .0611221 | 1.06 | 0.291 |
| Worker | 1578635 | -0.73 | 0.466 |
| Labor income | .0404836 | 0.97 | 0.334 |
| Length of discontinuity | 1232465 | -3.23 | 0.001 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .2604591 | 3.62 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness | 0006049 | -0.27 | 0.788 |
| Length of illness squared | .0000123 | 0.42 | 0.676 |
| Coinsurance rate | 7624772 | -1.60 | 0.109 |

Table17 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Pollinosis)

Note: Log likelihodd is -296766. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.4676118. Its probability value is 0.000.

| pai | in/indigestion) | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 0379059 | -3.31 | 0.001 |
| Age squared | .0005251 | 3.38 | 0.001 |
| Female | .1252175 | 0.95 | 0.341 |
| High sch. grad. | 0058971 | -0.02 | 0.985 |
| Univ. grad. | 0978897 | -0.32 | 0.753 |
| Kansai Area | .2587631 | 2.75 | 0.006 |
| Worker | 1411687 | -0.36 | 0.722 |
| Labor income | .0268732 | 0.37 | 0.710 |
| Length of discontinuity | .1003026 | 1.48 | 0.140 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0265878 | -1.04 | 0.300 |
| Length of illness | .0244221 | 4.66 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | 0003043 | -5.08 | 0.000 |
| Coinsurance rate | .0792102 | 0.10 | 0.921 |

Table18 : Marginal effect for medical services (Abdominal

| pai | n/indigestion) | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | .0400448 | 3.74 | 0.000 |
| Age squared | 0005077 | -3.64 | 0.000 |
| Female | 3216069 | -2.81 | 0.005 |
| High sch. grad. | .0605047 | 0.25 | 0.806 |
| Univ. grad. | .0365491 | 0.14 | 0.887 |
| Kansai Area | 0748168 | -1.17 | 0.242 |
| Worker | .5586636 | 2.27 | 0.023 |
| Labor income | 1261616 | -2.44 | 0.015 |
| Length of discontinuity | 0361844 | -0.65 | 0.516 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0590296 | -1.11 | 0.265 |
| Length of illness | 0161238 | -4.63 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | .0001973 | 4.95 | 0.000 |
| Coinsurance rate | 3632696 | -0.63 | 0.531 |

Table19: Marginal effect for OTC medication (Abdominal

Note:Log likelihodd is -1429. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.3614994. Its probability value is 0.000.

| (Heada | che/menorrhalgia) | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 0087293 | -3.82 | 0.000 |
| Age squared | .0001192 | 3.82 | 0.000 |
| Female | 0060813 | -0.28 | 0.783 |
| High sch. grad. | .0073742 | 0.11 | 0.913 |
| Univ. grad. | .0036701 | 0.05 | 0.960 |
| Kansai Area | .0569773 | 2.95 | 0.003 |
| Worker | 1901717 | -1.68 | 0.094 |
| Labor income | .0202852 | 1.99 | 0.047 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0074461 | 1.61 | 0.108 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0145837 | -1.65 | 0.099 |
| Length of illness | 0004446 | -0.29 | 0.770 |
| Length of illness squared | .0000288 | 0.58 | 0.562 |
| Coinsurance rate | .4995299 | 1.53 | 0.106 |

Table
20 : Marginal effect for medical services

| (Heada | che/menorrhalgia) | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 0005913 | -0.03 | 0.979 |
| Age squared | .0000341 | 0.12 | 0.908 |
| Female | .3729852 | 1.52 | 0.129 |
| High sch. grad. | 9525601 | -2.83 | 0.005 |
| Univ. grad. | 6909075 | -2.14 | 0.032 |
| Kansai Area | 1773043 | -1.79 | 0.073 |
| Worker | .7455934 | 2.23 | 0.026 |
| Labor income | 1730022 | -2.15 | 0.032 |
| Length of discontinuity | 0524014 | -1.49 | 0.135 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1699684 | 2.83 | 0.005 |
| Length of illness | 1246088 | -5.15 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | .0039213 | 4.08 | 0.000 |
| Coinsurance rate | 2476926 | -0.24 | 0.811 |

Table21 : Marginal effect for OTC medication

Note:Log likelihodd is -366. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -.4927063. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0014839 | 0.71 | 0.475 |
| Age squared | 0000211 | -0.94 | 0.350 |
| Female | .0105781 | 0.96 | 0.339 |
| High sch. grad. | .9744353 | 12.35 | 0.000 |
| Univ. grad. | .9795201 | 10.11 | 0.000 |
| Kansai Area | 0219652 | -3.32 | 0.001 |
| Worker | .044843 | 1.22 | 0.222 |
| Labor income | 0055971 | -0.93 | 0.355 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0128259 | 0.78 | 0.437 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0040868 | -1.25 | 0.212 |
| Length of illness | 0002704 | -0.83 | 0.409 |
| Length of illness squared | 8.60e-08 | 0.02 | 0.981 |
| Coinsurance rate | 2612172 | -2.05 | 0.040 |

Table22 : Marginal effect for medical services (Shoulder/neck pain)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0030846 | 0.13 | 0.895 |
| Age squared | .0000556 | 0.21 | 0.837 |
| Female | .2463723 | 1.82 | 0.069 |
| High sch. grad. | .5337669 | 1.27 | 0.204 |
| Univ. grad. | .552625 | 1.34 | 0.180 |
| Kansai Area | .274819 | 2.98 | 0.003 |
| Worker | .7041422 | 1.87 | 0.062 |
| Labor income | 1559615 | -1.79 | 0.074 |
| Length of discontinuity | 153621 | -0.97 | 0.331 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .0363429 | 1.11 | 0.267 |
| Length of illness | 0005379 | -0.15 | 0.880 |
| Length of illness squared | -5.00e-06 | -0.12 | 0.901 |
| Coinsurance rate | -2.973727 | -1.27 | 0.123 |

Table23 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Shoulder/neck pain)

Note:Log likelihodd is -1160. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.2493771. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0256784 | -2.13 | 0.033 |
| Age squared | .0002527 | 1.92 | 0.054 |
| Female | .0758801 | 0.87 | 0.386 |
| High sch. grad. | .2300355 | 1.10 | 0.271 |
| Univ. grad. | .5118543 | 1.50 | 0.135 |
| Kansai Area | 0859991 | -1.25 | 0.212 |
| Worker | .3169298 | 1.18 | 0.239 |
| Labor income | 0775366 | -1.57 | 0.117 |
| Length of discontinuity | 019307 | -1.62 | 0.105 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 012238 | -0.55 | 0.582 |
| Length of illness | .0077638 | 3.08 | 0.002 |
| Length of illness squared | 0000807 | -2.36 | 0.018 |
| Coinsurance rate | 8732081 | -1.64 | 0.098 |

 Table24 : Marginal effect for medical services (Backache)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0002556 | 2.11 | 0.035 |
| Age squared | -2.71e-06 | -2.00 | 0.045 |
| Female | 0009574 | -1.31 | 0.192 |
| High sch. grad. | 011382 | -1.80 | 0.072 |
| Univ. grad. | 0016009 | -1.43 | 0.154 |
| Kansai Area | .0008393 | 1.05 | 0.294 |
| Worker | 0010171 | -0.37 | 0.713 |
| Labor income | .0000886 | 0.21 | 0.837 |
| Length of discontinuity | 0001122 | -0.89 | 0.374 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0000667 | -0.57 | 0.566 |
| Length of illness | 0000102 | -0.42 | 0.677 |
| Length of illness squared | 1.52e-07 | 0.49 | 0.622 |
| Coinsurance rate | .0020851 | 0.43 | 0.666 |

Table25 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Backache)

Note:Log likelihodd is -1488. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.1522507. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0297777 | -2.43 | 0.015 |
| Age squared | .0004167 | 2.25 | 0.024 |
| Female | 2166871 | -1.59 | 0.113 |
| High sch. grad. | .2224986 | 0.68 | 0.495 |
| Univ. grad. | .3575566 | 1.09 | 0.277 |
| Kansai Area | 2022586 | -1.66 | 0.098 |
| Worker | 3619617 | -0.72 | 0.471 |
| Labor income | .0412234 | 0.40 | 0.693 |
| Length of discontinuity | .2125956 | 2.83 | 0.005 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1517018 | 1.65 | 0.098 |
| Length of illness | .0123883 | 2.65 | 0.008 |
| Length of illness squared | 0001376 | -2.93 | 0.003 |
| Coinsurance rate | -1.807227 | -2.64 | 0.008 |

Table26 : Marginal effect for medical services (Constipation/diarrhea)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0030526 | 0.30 | 0.766 |
| Age squared | .0000181 | 0.13 | 0.900 |
| Female | .2077292 | 2.04 | 0.041 |
| High sch. grad. | .6463835 | 2.18 | 0.029 |
| Univ. grad. | .7166471 | 2.07 | 0.039 |
| Kansai Area | .2442321 | 3.03 | 0.002 |
| Worker | 424015 | -1.37 | 0.170 |
| Labor income | .1166378 | 1.60 | 0.109 |
| Length of discontinuity | 2536099 | -1.96 | 0.050 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .1556212 | 1.18 | 0.236 |
| Length of illness | .0039275 | 1.04 | 0.300 |
| Length of illness squared | 3.38e-06 | 0.10 | 0.922 |
| Coinsurance rate | .3845725 | 0.82 | 0.412 |

Table27 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Constipation/diarrhea)

Note:Log likelihodd is -894. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.3598876. Its probability value is 0.000.

| 0 | | \ \ | 1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 0567773 | -1.87 | 0.061 |
| Age squared | .0007863 | 2.02 | 0.043 |
| Female | .1376578 | 0.65 | 0.513 |
| High sch. grad. | .6147256 | 2.31 | 0.021 |
| Univ. grad. | .5788484 | 1.84 | 0.065 |
| Kansai Area | 3800073 | -1.93 | 0.053 |
| Worker | .9965247 | 3.66 | 0.000 |
| Labor income | 4812977 | -4.06 | 0.000 |
| Length of discontinuity | .1751689 | 1.21 | 0.227 |
| Length of illness | .0021729 | 0.53 | 0.599 |
| Length of illness squared | 000032 | -0.71 | 0.477 |
| Coinsurance rate | -3.697796 | -2.54 | 0.011 |
| | | | |

Table28 : Marginal effect for medical services (Asthenopia)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0328533 | 3.43 | 0.001 |
| Age squared | 0003826 | -3.22 | 0.001 |
| Female | 2578228 | -2.49 | 0.013 |
| High sch. grad. | 4738471 | -2.68 | 0.007 |
| Univ. grad. | 1672668 | -2.77 | 0.006 |
| Kansai Area | .0160782 | 0.22 | 0.824 |
| Worker | 9188349 | -2.66 | 0.008 |
| Labor income | .1589838 | 2.38 | 0.017 |
| Length of discontinuity | .8782329 | 3.24 | 0.001 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0367425 | -1.00 | 0.319 |
| Length of illness | 0020196 | -0.74 | 0.461 |
| Length of illness squared | .0000143 | 0.46 | 0.647 |
| Coinsurance rate | .6992843 | 0.96 | 0.337 |

Table29 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Asthenopia)

Note:Log likelihodd is -821. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.2623444. Its probability value is 0.0000.

| 0 | | (| / |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | .0083934 | 2.45 | 0.014 |
| Age squared | 0001239 | -2.35 | 0.019 |
| Female | 0020502 | -0.11 | 0.915 |
| High sch. grad. | 9525492 | -2.27 | 0.023 |
| Kansai Area | .0361695 | 1.03 | 0.301 |
| Worker | .4792995 | 1.09 | 0.277 |
| Labor income | 0364885 | -2.15 | 0.031 |
| Length of illness | 0011745 | -2.38 | 0.017 |
| Length of illness squared | .0000121 | 2.78 | 0.005 |
| Coinsurance rate | .3290009 | 1.20 | 0.227 |

Table30 : Marginal effect for medical services (Athletes' foot/corn)

| - 8 | | | / |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 0203553 | -1.45 | 0.148 |
| Age squared | .0004324 | 2.10 | 0.036 |
| Female | 1745325 | -1.21 | 0.225 |
| High sch. grad. | .8888703 | 3.49 | 0.000 |
| Univ. grad. | .3435814 | 3.64 | 0.000 |
| Kansai Area | 2422668 | -2.57 | 0.010 |
| Worker | 8986 | -2.36 | 0.018 |
| Labor income | .1640201 | 2.30 | 0.022 |
| Length of illness | .0013252 | 0.47 | 0.641 |
| Length of illness squared | 0000144 | -0.57 | 0.572 |
| Coinsurance rate | -1.123085 | -1.58 | 0.114 |

Table31 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Athletes' foot/corn)

Note: Log likelihodd is -291. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.2960467. Its probability value is 0.000.

| |)) |) | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 036201 | -3.23 | 0.001 |
| Age squared | .0006611 | 4.18 | 0.000 |
| Female | 2045767 | -2.37 | 0.018 |
| High sch. grad. | .8096419 | 4.29 | 0.000 |
| Univ. grad. | .8973977 | 4.14 | 0.000 |
| Kansai Area | 0820115 | -1.04 | 0.300 |
| Worker | 257197 | -0.51 | 0.608 |
| Labor income | .1271258 | 1.17 | 0.241 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0800188 | 0.97 | 0.333 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0527944 | -0.36 | 0.722 |
| Length of illness | 0110596 | -3.01 | 0.003 |
| Length of illness squared | .000116 | 2.64 | 0.008 |
| Coinsurance rate | 9167819 | -2.35 | 0.019 |

Table32 : Marginal effect for medical services (Various skin diseases(atopic dermatitis, insect bites, miliaria, or urticaria)

| diseases(atopic dermatitis, insect bites, miliaria, or urticaria) | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | .018164 | 1.88 | 0.060 |
| Age squared | 0002656 | -2.00 | 0.046 |
| Female | .0638806 | 0.89 | 0.372 |
| High sch. grad. | 8485309 | -2.97 | 0.003 |
| Univ. grad. | 3922729 | -2.86 | 0.004 |
| Kansai Area | .0444187 | 0.42 | 0.675 |
| Worker | 062161 | -0.14 | 0.891 |
| Labor income | 0370979 | -0.41 | 0.682 |
| Length of discontinuity | .1183589 | 1.69 | 0.092 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 1182407 | -0.80 | 0.423 |
| Length of illness | .0061915 | 2.18 | 0.029 |
| Length of illness squared | 0000643 | -2.00 | 0.045 |
| Coinsurance rate | 1.623608 | 3.34 | 0.001 |

Table33 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Various skin

Note:Log likelihodd is -2274. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the distur-

bance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.2960467. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | $\operatorname{burn}))$ | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | 011535 | -3.02 | 0.003 |
| Age squared | .0001852 | 3.47 | 0.001 |
| Female | 0409943 | -1.40 | 0.162 |
| High sch. grad. | .0602717 | 0.78 | 0.433 |
| Univ. grad. | .116934 | 0.78 | 0.435 |
| Kansai Area | .0318216 | 1.20 | 0.229 |
| Worker | 1509132 | -1.31 | 0.191 |
| Labor income | .0387758 | 1.58 | 0.114 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0139092 | 1.17 | 0.243 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .0546375 | 0.89 | 0.372 |
| Length of illness | 0118258 | -2.81 | 0.005 |
| Length of illness squared | .0005734 | 3.30 | 0.001 |
| Coinsurance rate | .0659511 | 0.39 | 0.696 |

Table
34 : Marginal effect for medical services $({\rm Injury}({\rm cut},\,{\rm scratch}\,{\rm or}\,$

| | $\operatorname{burn}))$ | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|
| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
| | | | value |
| Age | .0089839 | 0.71 | 0.477 |
| Age squared | 0001596 | -0.90 | 0.368 |
| Female | .0345442 | 0.30 | 0.764 |
| High sch. grad. | .1517395 | 0.45 | 0.652 |
| Univ. grad. | .247799 | 0.73 | 0.464 |
| Kansai Area | 0640607 | -0.61 | 0.541 |
| Worker | 8134573 | -1.99 | 0.047 |
| Labor income | .1905276 | 1.89 | 0.059 |
| Length of discontinuity | 0132369 | -0.41 | 0.681 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 0573224 | -0.37 | 0.709 |
| Length of illness | 0182548 | -1.29 | 0.197 |
| Length of illness squared | .0002182 | 0.40 | 0.693 |
| Coinsurance rate | 0494607 | -0.07 | 0.945 |

Table
35 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Injury
(cut, scratch or

Note:Log likelihodd is -914. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.2960467. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 005851 | -0.88 | 0.377 |
| Age squared | .0000698 | 0.81 | 0.418 |
| Female | 0750209 | -1.54 | 0.124 |
| High sch. grad. | 3634502 | -1.92 | 0.054 |
| Univ. grad. | 1811872 | -1.56 | 0.120 |
| Kansai Area | .0418156 | 0.79 | 0.428 |
| Worker | .0019355 | 0.01 | 0.992 |
| Labor income | .0232369 | 0.61 | 0.545 |
| Length of discontinuity | .0123036 | 1.75 | 0.080 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .029024 | 1.11 | 0.269 |
| Length of illness | .0131927 | 3.97 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | 0002441 | -5.18 | 0.000 |
| Coinsurance rate | .3450865 | 0.97 | 0.333 |

Table36 : Marginal effect for medical services (Bruise/sprain)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0287334 | -1.48 | 0.140 |
| Age squared | .0004025 | 1.51 | 0.131 |
| Female | .1969428 | 1.24 | 0.215 |
| High sch. grad. | .2635165 | 0.46 | 0.649 |
| Univ. grad. | .3595715 | 0.59 | 0.554 |
| Kansai Area | 097164 | -0.76 | 0.445 |
| Worker | .4758668 | 0.92 | 0.357 |
| Labor income | 0974992 | -0.89 | 0.375 |
| Length of discontinuity | 1061113 | -2.07 | 0.038 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | .0478824 | 0.51 | 0.609 |
| Length of illness | 0129608 | -2.08 | 0.038 |
| Length of illness squared | .0001842 | 2.23 | 0.026 |
| Coinsurance rate | 1.541766 | 1.22 | 0.222 |

Table37 : Marginal effect for OTC medication (Bruise/sprain)

Note:Log likelihodd is -1121. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.5372352. Its probability value is 0.000.

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | 0208538 | -1.66 | 0.097 |
| Age squared | .0002486 | 1.43 | 0.152 |
| Female | 6133911 | -3.31 | 0.001 |
| High sch. grad. | .9999974 | 4.02 | 0.000 |
| Univ. grad. | .9980987 | 4.36 | 0.000 |
| Kansai Area | .1768965 | 1.36 | 0.173 |
| Labor income | 6632161 | -4.56 | 0.000 |
| Length of discontinuity | .3184902 | 4.06 | 0.000 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 1635912 | -1.95 | 0.051 |
| Length of illness | .0183334 | 4.53 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | 000209 | -4.24 | 0.000 |
| Coinsurance rate | -3.562828 | -3.70 | 0.000 |

Table38 : Marinal effect for medical services (Hemorrhoid)

| | Marginal effect | t-value | Prob. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| | | | value |
| Age | .0412808 | 1.72 | 0.085 |
| Age squared | 0004858 | -1.84 | 0.065 |
| Female | 1.356532 | 4.94 | 0.000 |
| High sch. grad. | 9999997 | -3.95 | 0.000 |
| Univ. grad. | 831394 | -4.17 | 0.000 |
| Kansai Area | .1487035 | 1.33 | 0.183 |
| Labor income | .7246168 | 5.10 | 0.000 |
| Length of discontinuity | -1.678381 | -3.62 | 0.000 |
| Patient's bed ridden period | 1.253143 | 3.26 | 0.001 |
| Length of illness | 0173603 | -3.99 | 0.000 |
| Length of illness squared | .0001141 | 3.21 | 0.001 |
| Coinsurance rate | 1.442343 | 1.19 | 0.233 |

Table39 : Marinal effect for OTC medication (Hemorrhoid)

Note:Log likelihodd is -247. A correlation coefficient between the difference of the disturbance terms for medical services and OTC, and the difference of the disturbance terms for OTC and do nothing is -0.3042642. Its probability value is 0.000.